

Magneto-transport properties of $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$: Similarities to URu_2Si_2

¹Jiahao Zhang, ¹Sile Hu, ¹Hengcan Zhao, ¹Pu Wang, ²A. M.

Strydom, ¹Jianlin Luo, ^{1,3}Frank Steglich, and ¹Peijie Sun*

¹*Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics,
Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

²*Highly Correlated Matter Research Group, Physics Department,
University of Johannesburg, P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa*

³*Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, 01187 Dresden, Germany*

(Dated: July 28, 2016)

We report on magneto-transport properties of the Kondo semiconducting compound $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$, focusing on its exotic phase below $T_0 = 27$ K. In this phase, an excess thermal conductivity κ emerges and is gradually suppressed by magnetic field, strikingly resembling those observed in the hidden-order phase of URu_2Si_2 . Our analysis indicates that low-energy magnetic excitation is the most likely origin, as was also proposed for URu_2Si_2 recently, despite the largely reduced magnetic moments. Likewise, other transport properties such as resistivity, thermopower and Nernst effect exhibit distinct features characterizing the very different charge dynamics above and below T_0 , sharing similarities to URu_2Si_2 , too. Given the exotic nature of the ordered phases in both compounds, whether a unified interpretation to all these observations exists appears to be extremely interesting.

PACS numbers: Valid PACS appear here

$\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ is one of the heavy-fermion (HF) materials showing timely interest due to a novel phase transition at an abnormally high temperature $T_0 = 27$ K emerging in a Kondo semiconducting phase^{1,2}. An antiferromagnetic scenario, as originally proposed by one of the authors¹ based on magnetic and thermodynamic measurements, has been frequently argued to be incompatible with the large nearest neighbor distance between Ce ions, ~ 5.2 Å, and the de Gennes scaling of ordering temperatures of RRu_2Al_{10} (R = rare earth). μSR and neutron powder diffraction experiments have revealed a long-ranged, collinear antiferromagnetic ordering of the Ce sublattice below T_0 with, however, a strongly reduced magnetic moment of $0.34\text{--}0.42 \mu_B$, and an unusual alignment along c axis that is not the magnetic easy direction^{3,4}. The microscopic origin of the magnetic ordering, which apparently goes beyond the conventional Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction, remains elusive. On the other hand, transport properties of $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ are intriguing as well. For instance, regardless of the formation of a partial gap over the majority of the Fermi surface with onset of the new phase, the electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ ceases to be semiconducting-like and becomes metal-like upon cooling below T_0 . Moreover, both thermopower $S(T)$ and thermal conductivity $\kappa(T)$ are accompanied by an extra peak at temperatures slightly below T_0 or deep inside the ordered phase¹.

In this work, we investigate the magneto-transport properties of $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$. Special attention is put on the excess thermal conductance emerging in the ordered phase below T_0 and its strong suppression upon applying magnetic field. Note that, strikingly similar behaviors have ever been observed for another heavy-fermion system, URu_2Si_2 , in the hidden-order phase below $T_h = 17.5$ K^{5,6}. Enhanced phononic thermal conductance

arising from a freezing out of relevant scattering centers below T_h has been argued to be the origin^{5,6}. This argument, however, is questionable in view of the strong field dependence and because of recent detailed experimental investigations on phonon dynamics by inelastic x-ray scattering measurements⁷. The acoustic phonon modes do not change significantly upon cooling into the hidden-order phase, arguing against the phononic origin of the excess κ below T_h . We will show that our experimental results for $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$, on the other hand, strongly support low-energy magnetic excitations to be at the center of these phenomena. Moreover, main features in the resistivity, thermopower and Nernst coefficient are able to be approached by taking into account two regimes of very different charge dynamics separated by T_0 . These, again, share similarities with those of URu_2Si_2 .

Polycrystalline sample of $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ and its non-magnetic homologue $\text{LaRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ were prepared by arc-melting the stoichiometric starting materials and following an annealing process in vacuum at 800° for one week¹. Power x-ray diffraction confirms the $\text{YbFe}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ -type (orthorhombic, space group Cmcm , No. 63) crystal structure. The obtained lattice constants are $a = 9.1254$ Å, $b = 10.2791$ Å, and $c = 9.1876$ Å, which are in good agreement with the reported values. Electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$, thermal conductivity $\kappa(T)$, thermopower $S(T)$, and Nernst coefficient $\nu(T)$ were measured in the physical property measurement system (PPMS, Quantum Design) between 2 K and room temperature, using a sample with typical dimension $0.5 \times 2 \times 5 \text{ mm}^3$. Among these, the Nernst measurements were performed on a home-design sample puck with one chip resistor of 2000Ω as heater and one thin ($\phi = 25 \mu\text{m}$) chromel-AuFe_{0.07%} thermocouple for detecting the temperature gradient, as described in ref.⁸.




FIG. 1: (a) Electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ measured in various magnetic fields applied perpendicular to electrical current, the magnetoresistance $\text{MR}_{8T} = (\rho_{8T} - \rho_{0T})/\rho_{0T}$, and the derivative of ρ with respect to T for $B = 0$ T. Dotted line represents a thermal activation behavior of $\rho(T)$ observed between 30 and 80 K. (b) Hall mobility μ_H as a function of temperature⁸.

Figure 1a shows $\rho(T)$ measured in various magnetic fields applied perpendicular to electrical current, the derivative $d\rho/dT$, and the magnetoresistance $\text{MR}(T)$. In agreement with previous reports^{1,2}, the curve of $\rho(T)$ displays a prominent maximum at $T \approx 22$ K well below T_0 . The phase transition at T_0 is, however, clearly manifested by a sharp negative extreme in both $d\rho/dT$ and MR as a function of temperature. The value of $\text{MR}(T)$ is negative above T_0 , evolving dramatically to be positive upon cooling. In other words, application of a magnetic field suppresses charge scattering events only at $T > T_0$. This points to the onset of a magnetically ordered phase at T_0 , above which, spin fluctuations as scatterers of conduction electrons give rise to negative values of MR .

Given that a charge gap opens over nearly 90% of the Fermi surface slightly above T_0 ^{9,10}, it is uncommon that $\rho(T)$ evolves from a semiconducting behavior above T_0 to be metal-like in the ordered phase. Interestingly, this unusual behavior shares some similarities to $\rho(T)$ of URu_2Si_2 . Upon cooling down into the hidden-order phase, $\rho(T)$ of URu_2Si_2 passes through a maximum at a temperature below T_h and becomes more metallic at lower temperatures¹¹, even though the majority of the Fermi surface is gapped out. These features, observed




FIG. 2: Thermal conductivity $\kappa(T)$ measured in different magnetic fields for $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$. The electronic contribution $\kappa_e(T)$ (crosses) was estimated from the WF law on the basis of the measured values of resistivity in zero field. The solid line represents the phononic thermal conductivity κ_{ph} of the nonmagnetic analog $\text{LaRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$, and the dotted curve $\kappa(T)$ of single-crystalline $\text{CeFe}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ along the most heat-conductive direction (a axis). The dashed curve at temperatures below T_0 is an extrapolation of the measured κ for $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$, ignoring the excess contribution below T_0 and following essentially the same T dependence of κ_{ph} of $\text{LaRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$. By applying magnetic field, the excess heat conductance below T_0 is significantly reduced.

in both compounds, apparently are related to the much larger Hall mobility μ_H in the ordered phase relative to the paramagnetic phase (cf. Fig. 1b), and are consistent with the large, positive MR in the ordered phase. In a limited temperature range from above T_0 up to 80 K, $\rho(T)$ of $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ roughly follows a thermal activation law, with a small charge gap $E_g = 46$ K that is comparable to that estimated from NMR⁹ and optical spectra¹⁰.

Figure 2 shows the measured $\kappa(T)$ for $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$. It has two distinct maxima at $T = 20$ and 50 K, separated by a sharp valley right at T_0 . Such a temperature profile has been observed for both polycrystalline and single-crystalline samples^{1,12}, and the isoelectronic homologue $\text{CeOs}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ ¹³ with a similar phase transition. A common practice to analyze thermal conductivity of a conducting solid assumes κ to be the sum of a phononic and an electronic contribution, $\kappa = \kappa_e + \kappa_{ph}$. The electronic term κ_e can be readily estimated from the Wiedemann-Franz law, $\kappa_e \rho/T = L_0$, with the Sommerfeld value of Lorenz number $L_0 \equiv \frac{\pi^2}{3} \left(\frac{k_B}{e}\right)^2 = 2.44 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W}\cdot\Omega\cdot\text{K}^{-2}$. Due to the relatively large values of resistivity of $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$, κ_e is estimated to be negligibly small at $T < 100$ K, being easily ruled out as a relevant origin of the complex $\kappa(T)$ behavior.

To shed light on the origin of the double-maximum structure of $\kappa(T)$, it is instructive to first look at κ of the nonmagnetic homologue, $\text{LaRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$. The phononic contribution $\kappa_{ph}(T)$ to the latter compound estimated by subtracting $\kappa_e(T)$, as derived from $\rho(T)$ with the aid of




FIG. 3: The excess thermal conductivity κ_m in the ordered phase and its suppression in magnetic field, denoted as the difference of thermal conductivity measured in zero and applied magnetic fields, i.e., $\Delta\kappa = \kappa_{0T} - \kappa_B$. Note the appearance of non-zero $\Delta\kappa$ in only the ordered phase and its strong field dependence.

the WF law, from the raw data, is shown in Fig. 2. A comparison between the measured total thermal conductivity for $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ and $\kappa_{\text{ph}}(T)$ of $\text{LaRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ reveals a good coincidence of their maxima at high temperature (40 – 50 K), characteristic of lattice heat conductance in a crystalline solid. Furthermore, $\kappa(T)$ of single crystalline, isoelectronic $\text{CeFe}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ (dotted curve, adopted from ref.¹⁴ for a axis) is also shown for comparison. This compound is characterized by a stronger hybridization between conduction electrons and f states and, consequently, a nonmagnetic semiconducting ground state. Correspondingly, its $\kappa(T)$, which is dominated by the phononic contribution, reveals a single, phonon-derived maximum with smaller but comparable values. These facts suggest that the maximum at $T = 50$ K in $\kappa(T)$ of $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ is phononic in origin, whereas the enhancement below T_0 is due to a different mechanism. Assuming a similar temperature dependence of $\kappa_{\text{ph}}(T)$ for $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ to that of $\text{LaRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$, one can straightforwardly extrapolate the curve of $\kappa(T)$ from above T_0 down to 2 K (dashed line in Fig. 2). The excess thermal conductivity below T_0 for $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$, denoted as κ_m , is therefore obtained by subtracting the estimated κ_{ph} from the measured values of κ . As shown in Fig. 3a, in the ordered phase, κ_m amounts to sizable values that are comparable to κ_{ph} .

Further evidence in support of an exotic mechanism rather than lattice vibration as origin of κ_m is found by applying magnetic fields: While at $T > T_0$, $\kappa(T)$ is field insensitive, with the onset of the ordered phase at T_0 , κ_m can be suppressed substantially by applying a magnetic field. The suppression, revealed by the difference between κ measured in zero and applied field, $\Delta\kappa = \kappa_{0T} - \kappa_B$, is also shown in Fig. 3. The sudden development of $\Delta\kappa$ right below T_0 and its strong field dependence point to i) an intimate relationship of κ_m and the phase transi-

tion and ii) heat carriers responsible for κ_m are magnetic in origin or strongly coupled to magnetic excitations. Apart from phononic contribution discussed above, here one may also rule out structural change/distortion as a leading origin for κ_m , given the field sensitivity of κ_m .

As already mentioned, our observations on $\kappa(T)$ of $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ show striking resemblance to those of URu_2Si_2 : In the hidden-order phase of the latter compound, a large enhancement of κ as well as a field-induced suppression have been observed^{5,6}. At $T > T_h$, $\kappa(T)$ is insensitive to field, similar to our observations made for $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$. The excess κ below T_h has been interpreted as a consequence of sudden freezing out of scattering centers mainly for heat-carrying acoustic phonons⁶. This conclusion is still under debate. Recent inelastic x-ray scattering measurements of phonon dynamics in URu_2Si_2 reveal that the phonon modes do not change significantly upon cooling into the hidden-order phase. This leads to the conclusion that κ_{ph} is much less important compared to that of magnetic excitations in the low temperature phase, despite the extremely small magnetic moment⁷. Actually, if the excess thermal conductivity in the ordered phase would reflect a recovery of $\kappa_{\text{ph}}(T)$ due to freezing out of some spin-lattice scattering events, one should expect $\kappa_{\text{ph}}(T)$ to further increase upon applying magnetic field. This is in contrast to the observations for $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ and URu_2Si_2 , but is indeed the case for many multiferroic materials due to strong spin-lattice coupling¹⁵. There, the enhancement of $\kappa_{\text{ph}}(T)$ by applying a field results from suppression of magnetic fluctuations¹⁶.

Results of inelastic x-ray scattering measurements suggest low-energy magnetic excitations to be the origin of the excess κ in the hidden order phase of URu_2Si_2 ⁷. In spite of very tiny antiferromagnetic moments ($\sim 0.02 \mu_B$) detected in the hidden-order phase, well-defined magnetic excitations throughout the Brillouin zone have been indeed revealed by neutron scattering experiments for URu_2Si_2 ¹⁷. Likewise, our analysis of the thermal conductivity data support the same conclusion for $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$, where heat transport by antiferromagnetic spin waves could be the likely source of $\kappa_m(T)$ below T_0 . For an insulating spin system, this scenario has been well established and additional heat transport in its magnetically ordered phase has been proved to be an effective probe for low energy magnetic excitations¹⁸. Along the same line, the significant κ_m in $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ and URu_2Si_2 is believed to benefit from the low carrier density of the ordered phase in these compound. However, it remains to be unraveled why a magnetic contribution to $\kappa(T)$ in a system with very small magnetic moments can be substantially large. In view of the high similarity of the thermal conductivity and close magnetic ordering temperature of $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ and $\text{CeOs}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ ¹³, it seems reasonable to believe that the above discussion applies to the latter compound as well.

In Fig. 4a,b we show the thermopower $S(T)$ and Nernst coefficient $\nu(T)$ measured in different magnetic fields for

CeRu₂Al₁₀. $S(T)$ measured at $B = 0$ T is characterized by two broad maxima at $T = 7$ K and 180 K, together with a sharp peak emerging in between, at $T \approx 22$ K, in agreement with previous reports^{1,19}. On the other hand, $|\nu(T)|$ is dramatically enhanced by two orders of magnitude with the onset of the ordered phase below T_0 . Except for the sharp peak at 22 K, a double-maximum feature of $S(T)$ had been frequently observed for Kondo systems, e.g., CeRu₂Si₂²⁰, reflecting the Kondo scattering on the crystalline-electric-field (CEF) derived Kramers ground-state and excited doublets. Indeed, the first excited doublet of CeRu₂Al₁₀ in the orthorhombic CEF is about 30 meV above the ground state²¹, in accordance with the position of the high temperature maximum of $S(T)$. Interestingly, the values of $S(T)$ remain the same magnitude while the carrier concentration changes by two orders magnitude for the two phases separated by T_0 ¹. This is the case for URu₂Si₂²², too. For Kondo systems, such observation is not surprising because S is strongly dependent on the Kondo scattering rather than the electronic density of states (DOSs) of the conduction band²³. Upon applying magnetic fields, at $T < 20$ K the values of $S(T)$ commence to become reduced, whereas $S(T)$ remains unchanged at higher temperatures, as revealed by $\Delta S (= S_{0T} - S_{8T})$ as a function of temperature (Fig. 4a, inset). These distinctly different field responses of thermopower point to two different Kondo energy scales below and above T_0 , related to the abrupt change of the quasi-particle DOSs by opening of charge gap. $S(T)$ varies with temperature sublinearly below the low-temperature maximum, with an initial slope $S/T = 4.8 \mu\text{V}/\text{K}^2$ at $B = 0$ T. Given the electronic specific-heat coefficient $\gamma = 24.5 \text{ mJ}/\text{mol K}^2$ in the ordered phase², the dimensionless ratio of S/T and γ , i.e., $q = S/\gamma T$, amounts to 18.8 for CeRu₂Al₁₀. This value, as well as $q = 4.5$ for URu₂Si₂²⁴, is larger than $q (\approx 1)$ of most heavy-fermion metals, consistent with their low-carrier nature in the ordered phase.

The sharp $S(T)$ peak at $T \approx 22$ K appears to signify the temperature regime where both $\mu_H(T)$ and $\nu(T)$ (Fig. 4b) show pronounced changes. Due to its field insensitivity this peak cannot be ascribed to a magnon-drag effect associated with electron-spin wave interaction. We recently have demonstrated for the electron-doped skutterudite CoSb₃ that an anomalous thermopower can stem from a dramatic change of charge mobility with respect to temperature⁸. While the thermopower is commonly referred to energy dependence of the electronic DOSs at the Fermi level, it may well derive from a significantly energy-dependent relaxation time τ as evidenced by a largely temperature-dependent μ_H . Following this description, the $S(T)$ peak at 22 K can be qualitatively described by the derivative of Hall mobility, $d\mu_H/dT$. For details, see supplementary information of ref.⁸. The fact that this anomalous $S(T)$ peak sits right on top of the $\rho(T)$ maximum (cf. Fig. 4a) lends further support to this argument. Noticeably, the absolute value $|S(T)|$ of URu₂Si₂ increases abruptly below T_h as well²², which




FIG. 4: (a) Thermopower $S(T)$ measured in different magnetic fields for CeRu₂Al₁₀. The curve of $\rho(T)$ is also shown in order to highlight the similarity of the sharp peak at 22 K in both $S(T)$ and $\rho(T)$. Inset: The magneto-thermopower defined $\Delta S = S_{8T} - S_{0T}$. (b) Nernst coefficient ν measured in different fields shown as $-\nu$ vs T .

may be partially derived from the strong variation of $\mu_H(T)$ as discussed above. When magnetic field is applied, even a two-maximum structure in $S(T)$ is observed below T_h ²², mimic to the case of CeRu₂Al₁₀.

The Nernst coefficient $|\nu(T)|$, which increases by more than two orders of magnitude with the onset of the low- T phase in both the current system (Fig. 4b) and URu₂Si₂^{22,25} deserves special attention as well. Within the Boltzmann theory, one indeed expects a large Nernst signal from high mobility charge carriers: In a first-order approximation, $\nu \propto \frac{k_B T}{\epsilon_F} \mu_H$ ²², with k_B being Boltzmann constant and ϵ_F the Fermi energy. Nevertheless, the microscopic mechanism for large Nernst signal in these compounds may be not that straightforward. For URu₂Si₂, additionally, the large Nernst response has been discussed as a fingerprint of possible chiral or Berry-phase fluctuations associated with the broken time-reversal symmetry of the superconducting order parameter²⁵. Finally, it is instructive to mention that, apart from transport signatures, analogies between the two systems have also been found by other probes, such as optical conductivity, which exhibits a gap structure with a mysterious charge excitation peak¹⁰, and NQR/NMR spectra, which reveal a similar nuclear-spin lattice relaxation rate for both compounds⁹.

To summarize, we have investigated various magneto-

transport properties of the Kondo semiconducting compound $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ and stressed their analogies with those of the hidden-order compound URu_2Si_2 . With the onset of the ordered phase at $T_0 = 27$ K, $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ experiences a dramatic change of charge dynamics exhibiting very different charge mobilities below and above T_0 . This has profound effects on the electrical and thermoelectrical responses, leading to an additional peak in both $\rho(T)$ and $S(T)$, as well as an enhanced Nernst coefficient $\nu(T)$, resembling the case of URu_2Si_2 . Most significantly, in the ordered phase, thermal conductivity exhibits an excess contribution presumably derived from low-energy magnetic excitations and sensitive to magnetic field, in strong parallel to URu_2Si_2 as well. Given the Kondo semiconducting behavior above T_0 , which usu-

ally involves a nonmagnetic ground state, it is surprising that this compound shows magnetic order with small moments at a surprisingly high temperature. Like the hidden order phase in URu_2Si_2 , where the tiny antiferromagnetic moments can not be the main order parameter, the low-temperature magnetic phase in $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ remains to be elusive and badly calls for further work.

This work was supported by the MOST of China (Grant No: 2015CB921303, 2015CB921304), the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No:11474332), and the Chinese Academy of Sciences through the strategic priority research program (XDB07020200). A.M.S. thanks the SA-NRF (93549) and the URC/FRC of UJ for financial assistance.

-
- * Electronic address: pjsun@iphy.ac.cn
- ¹ A.M. Strydom, Phys. B **404**, 2981 (2009).
 - ² T. Nishioka, Y. Kawamura, T. Takesaka, R. Kobayashi, H. Kato, M. Matsumura, K. Kodama, K. Matsubayashi, and Y. Uwatoko, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78**, 123705 (2009).
 - ³ D.D. Khalyavin, A.D. Hillier, D.T. Adroja, A.M. Strydom, P. Manuel, L.C. Chapon, P. Peratheepan, K. Knight, P. Deen, C. Ritter, Y. Muro, and T. Takabatake, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 100405(R) (2010).
 - ⁴ H. Kato, R. Kobayashi, T. Takesaka, T. Nishioka, M. Matsumura, K. Kaneko and N. Metoki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **80**, 073701 (2011).
 - ⁵ K. Behnia, R. Bel, Y. Kasahara, Y. Nakajima, H. Jin, H. Aubin, K. Izawa, Y. Matsuda, J. Flouquet, Y. Haga, Y. Ōnuki and P. Lajey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 156405 (2005).
 - ⁶ P.A. Sharma, N. Harrison, M. Jaime, Y.S. Oh, K.H. Kim, C.D. Batista, H. Amitsuka and J.A. Mydosh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 156401 (2006).
 - ⁷ D. R. Gardner, C. J. Bonnoit, R. Chisnell, A. H. Said, B. M. Leu, T. J. Williams, G. M. Luke and Y. S. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 075123 (2016).
 - ⁸ P. Sun, B.P. Wei, J.H. Zhang, J.M. Tomczak, A.M. Strydom, M. Søndergaard, B.B. Iversen, and F. Steglich, Nat. Commn. **6**, 7475 (2015).
 - ⁹ M. Matsumura, Y. Kawamura, S. Edamoto, T. Takesaka, H. Kato, T. Nishioka, Y. Tokunaga, S. Kambe, and H. Yasuoka, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78**, 123713 (2009).
 - ¹⁰ S. Kimura, H. Tanida, M. Sera, Y. Muro, T. Takabatake, T. Nishioka, M. Matsumura, and R. Kobayashi, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 241120(R) (2015).
 - ¹¹ A. LeR Dawson, W.R. Datars, J.D. Garrett, and F.S. Razavi, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **1**, 6817 (1989).
 - ¹² H. Tanida, D. Tanaka, M. Sera, C. Moriyoshi, Y. Kuroiwa, T. Takesaka, T. Nishioka, H. Kato, and M. Matsumura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **79**, 063709 (2010).
 - ¹³ C.S. Lue, H.F. Liu, B.D. Ingale, J.N. Li, and Y. K. Kuo, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 245116 (2012).
 - ¹⁴ Y. Muro, K. Yutani, J. Kajino, T. Onimaru, and T. Takabatake, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **63**, 508 (2013).
 - ¹⁵ X. M. Wang, C. Fan, Z. Y. Zhao, W. Tao, X.G. Liu, W.P. Ke, X. Zhao, and X. F. Sun, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 094405 (2010).
 - ¹⁶ In the vicinity of a critical field where a magnetic phase transition takes place, thermal conductivity can be suppressed by field-induced critical fluctuations, cf. ref.¹⁵.
 - ¹⁷ F. Bourdarot, S. Raymond, and L.-P. Regnault, Philos. Mag. **94**, 3702 (2014).
 - ¹⁸ See, for example, R. Jin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 146601 (2003).
 - ¹⁹ Y. Muro, K. Motoya, Y. Saiga, and T. Takabatake, J. Phys.: Confer. Series **200**, 012136 (2010).
 - ²⁰ A. Amoto, D. Jaccard, J. Sierro, F. Lapiere, P. Haen, P. Lejay, J. Flouquet, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **76&77**, 263 (1988).
 - ²¹ F. Strigari *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 081105(R) (2012).
 - ²² R. Bel, H. Jin, K. Behnia, J. Flouquet, and P. Lejay, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 220501(R) (2004).
 - ²³ P. Sun and F. Steglich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 216408 (2013).
 - ²⁴ K. Behnia, D. Jaccard, and J. Flouquet, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **16**, 5187 (2004).
 - ²⁵ T. Yamashita, Y. Shimoyama, Y. Haga, T.D. Matsuda, E. Yamamoto, Y. Ōnuki, H. Sumiyoshi, S. Fujimoto, A. Levchenko, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda, Nat. Phys. **11**, 17 (2015).